IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE **EASTERN DIVISION**

KEVIN AND JEANNIE SMITH,)			11 JACCOCA (01/9)
Plaintiffs,)			
v.)	NO.	03-1255 T/An -	
WILLIAMS MARK FRANKS, d/b/a FRANKS TRUCKING,)))			
Defendant.)			
KEVIN AND JEANNIE SMITH,)			
Plaintiffs,)			
v.)	NO.	03-1322 T/An	
HOME-MART, INC.,)			
Defendant.)			

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF

Before the Court is Plaintiff Jeannie Smith's ("Mrs. Smith") Motion to Amend Complaint filed on August 22, 2005. Although the Court previously granted Mrs. Smith's Motion due to a misreading of the Certificate of Consultation, the Court subsequently granted the Defendants' Joint Motion to Reconsider Approving Jeannie Smith's Motion to Amend Complaint on August 31, 2005. For the following reasons, Mrs. Smith's Motion is **DENIED**.

Background

On July 13, 2005 and July 25, 2005, Plaintiff Kevin Smith ("Mr. Smith") filed a Motion to Amend Complaints and a Motion to Amend 16(b) Scheduling Order, respectively. Both motions were denied by this Court on August 16, 2005, and Mr. Smith filed a Motion for Relief from Order Denying Motion to Amend Complaints and Order Denying Motion to Amend Scheduling Order on August 25, 2005, in an attempt to obtain relief from the Court's previous denial. The Court again denied Mr. Smith's motion for relief due to untimeliness and undue prejudice to the Defendants.

In the interim, Mrs. Smith also filed a Motion to Amend Complaint on August 22, 2005. In her Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Amend Complaint, Mrs. Smith states, "Plaintiff Kevin Smith's Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Amend Complaint is hereby adopted and incorporated by reference as if stated verbatim herein." (D.E. #66). She does not point the Court to any other basis upon which to grant her motion.

Analysis

Mrs. Smith's Memorandum of Law in Support of her Motion to Amend relies on the same arguments that Mr. Smith has already advanced in his Motion to Amend Complaint of July 13, 2005, and his Motion for Relief of August 25, 2005. Both motions were unsuccessful because Mr. Smith's arguments concerning the untimeliness of the motion were not persuasive to the Court and granting the Motion to Amend would result in undue prejudice to the Defendants. Therefore, because Mrs. Smith is basing her Motion to Amend on the same arguments that this

Case 1:03-cv-01255-JDT-STA Document 98 Filed 11/09/05 Page 3 of 4 PageID

Court has denied twice, her Motion to Amend is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S. THOMAS ANDERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Date: November 08, 2005



Notice of Distribution

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 98 in case 1:03-CV-01255 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on November 14, 2005 to the parties listed.

Gayden Drew DREW & MARTINDALE, P.C. 174 W. University Pkwy Jackson, TN 38305

R. Dale Thomas RAINEY KIZER BUTLER REVIERE & BELL 105 S. Highland Avenue Jackson, TN 38302--114

John S. Little WALDROP & HALL 106 S. Liberty Street Jackson, TN 38301--072

M. Bradley Gilmore
PARKER LAWRENCE CANTRELL & DEAN
200 Fourth Avenue N.
5th Floor, Noel Place
Nashville, TN 37219

Jere B. Fones THORP FONES & FRULLA PLC 5668 S. Rex Road Second Floor Memphis, TN 38119

Steven W. Maroney TEEL MCCORMACK & MARONEY 87 Murray Guard Dr. Jackson, TN 38305

Honorable James Todd US DISTRICT COURT